ADDENDUM #5
Date of Addendum: March 23, 2010

NOTICE TO ALL BIDDERS AND PLANHOLDERS
The Contract Documents for the above-referenced Project are modified as set forth in this Addendum. The original Contract Documents and any previously issued addenda remain in full force and effect, except as modified by this Addendum, which is hereby made part of the Contract Documents. Bidder shall take this Addendum into consideration when preparing and submitting a bid, and shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the space provided on the Bid Form.

BID SUBMITTAL DEADLINE
The bid submittal deadline remains the same and is not changed by this Addendum.

1.0 – SPECIFICATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Chapter No.</th>
<th>Description of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>00200</td>
<td>Competition Process A. Project Schedule, clarify numbering. D5.c.i., clarify submission documents. Submittal Requirements 2.a – e., clarify submission documents. E. Proposal security on form provided by proposer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>00400</td>
<td>Proposer's Obligations and Representations 9. Clarified project phasing options. Contract Price A. Added Phase 1 pricing. B. Phase 2 pricing for remaining work clarified. C. Increased allowance to incorporate PSE line change. D. Clarified total base scope is to be used for basis of scoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>00490</td>
<td>5. Added Addendum 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Quality Requirements 4.a. Referenced ventilation study and clarified options on opening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>111 G3 32 – Storm Sewer</td>
<td>Performance A.1.b. &amp; c. clarifies storm sewer approach.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.0 – DRAWINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Drawing No.</th>
<th>Description of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>G100</td>
<td>Clarified finishes at Pacific Pl and lower BG trail part of base scope. Clarified scope for Add Alt. 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>L100</td>
<td>Clarified scope for Add Alt. 1. Including brick pavers at southern tip.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.0 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following questions and answers are provided as a matter of information to clarify issues raised about the Contract Documents. To the extent that changes to the Contract Documents are required based on the questions received, the Contract Documents have been modified as noted above in the Specifications and Drawings sections of this Addendum.

**Item** | Questions and Answers. Numbering a continuation from previous addenda
--- | ---
3.52 | **Question:** Will the Add Alternate pricing be required to submit with the RFP submittal on March 30, 2010?  
**Answer:** No. Add Alternate 1 pricing may be submitted on April 13, 2010 as part of the BAFO.

3.53 | **Question:** Where will the pricing be opened?  
**Answer:** A public opening of the cost proposals will be held on April 15, 2010 at 3:00pm in the CPO Guggenheim Annex, room 110.

3.54 | **Question:** Will the PSE gas line along the Burke Gilman trail need any Modifications (Follow up to Question 3.44)?  
**Answer:** PSE indicated that the existing line that deviates around the Burke Gilman trail will probably need to be rerouted. The Proposal Form Allowance has been modified accordingly. PSE confirmed the 8-inch line along the NE Pacific Pl has been disconnected, purged and abandoned in place. Design-Builder to verify no other utility is utilizing this for other purposes.

3.55 | **Question:** Are either or both of the existing structures (Pacific Place and/or Burke Gilman Trail Bridge) considered or potentially considered historic?  
**Answer:** No. Per the SEPA Addendum, neither structure is historically significant.

3.56 | **Question:** It is our understanding from our discussions in the proprietary meetings that the University is going to complete a traffic study during the proposal stage. Is this study available for our review and use?  
**Answer:** Unfortunately, third party reviews have not been completed and this analysis is not currently available.

3.57 | **Question:** Please provide your future intent for the sewer stub installation?  
**Answer:** Future sewer stub installation is requested to have the option of installing a new, shallower line in the event the existing line is in need of replacement.

3.58 | **Question:** In several locations the documents refer to the “50% SD” package. Please clarify the version and percent complete?
Answer: The Landscape and Civil drawings are marked “65% Schematic Design.” The drawings are revised as noted in addendum #3, #4, and #5.

| 3.59 | **Question:** The width of the path noted in detail 3, L304 appears wider than the plan. Which is correct??  
Answer: The width of the path is eight feet per plan. The 12’-6 ¼” dimension is incorrect. |

| 3.60 | **Question:** In reference to the response provided to question 3.50 (Addendum #4), any safety barrier (guardrail) that is required as part of the Base Bid, specifically at walls noted on sheet L200, is to be based on design provided in the schematic design package. Is it the intent of the design to provide guardrails shown in detail 2/L302 for this purpose? Would the University accept an alternative fence set back from the wall face?  
Answer: The handrails and guardrails associated with the bridge, the axis, any accessible ramp, or stair should be designed consistent with the concepts in detail 2/L302. The guardrails required at the tops of the walls and abutments may be vinyl coated chainlink located set back from the top of the walls as much as allowed by code with the intent that the barriers will be hidden in vegetation in a future phase. |

| 3.61 | **Question:** We were told in the last meeting that the base bid would include placing the site to finished grade, and then hydro-seeding. This would allow the base bid to be completed even if funding is not sufficient for the Landscaping Alternate. However, Addendum 4 indicates we are NOT completing the site backfill, and that topsoil will be part of the Landscaping Alternate. If the base bid is completed and the alternate is not, this will leave the site without proper surface drainage, among other interface issues. Please confirm this is the intent.  
Answer: The University anticipates either executing the interim landscape bid alternate or continuing to develop the design for the landscape restoration in parallel with the development of the base bid scope of work. In either case the University will make the decision with sufficient time to coordinate the work so that the project will not be left incomplete or non-functional. |

| 3.62 | **Question:** What is the intended route of the Lake Washington Trail?  
Answer: It is similar to the current routing – Lake Washington Trail southbound users coming from the Burke Gilman Trail (north or southbound) users will transit to the Rainier Vista axis, cross Pacific Place, and proceed over the Montlake Triangle to the intersection of Montlake Blvd. NE and NE Pacific Street at which point they will cross the street and rejoin the existing trail. The route is the reverse for anyone coming northbound from the Montlake |
### 3.63 Question: Permeable paving is a broad generic term, what is the preferred paving material?

**Answer:** The final paving material for the primary axis pathways including the bridge is not determined. Allow $18.00 per square foot (complete assembly and base preparation, installed) for “permeable paving”.

### 3.64 Question: Placing top soil over compacted fill and/or light weight structural fill will create unsuitable planting conditions. Please clarify planting soil placement requirements?

**Answer:** Where soils are placed over compacted structural fill: scarify the fill; place the first, 4” lift of soil; roto-till to a depth of 8”; place a second, 4” lift of soil; roto-till to a depth of 8”. Subsequent top soil may be placed in 6” lifts.

Where soil is placed on light weight structural fill (geo-foam, cellular concrete, etc.), the fill shall be placed to assure positive subsurface drainage away from the garage structure. Top soil may be placed directly on light weight structural fill.

---

### 4.0 – INFORMATION

The following item(s) are provided as a matter of information only to all bidders and plan holders and do not modify or become part of the Contract Documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00400</td>
<td>Proposal forms in Word.docx for proposal submission.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>